

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10
11 INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS FOR
ETHICAL CARE INC. et al.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JAY INSLEE et al.,

15 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:23-cv-05736-DGE

ORDER ON MOTION TO WAIVE
LOCAL-COUNSEL SIGNATURE
REQUIREMENT
(DKT. NO. 21)

16
17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion to waive the local-counsel
18 signature requirement. (Dkt. No. 21.)


19 Local Rule 83.1(d)(2) states that "[u]nless waived by the court . . . local counsel must
20 review and sign all motions and other filings." Plaintiffs contend that they "prefer to have the
21 attorneys who did the work sign the court filings." (Dkt. No. 21 at 1.) The purpose of the
22 signature requirement is to ensure that local counsel, who is presumably well versed in the local
23

1 rules and laws, is adequately reviewing all filings for substantive and procedural deficiencies.

2 The Court sees no basis to waive the requirements of Local Rule 83.1(d)(2).

3 Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion.

4 Dated this 25th day of October 2023.

5 
6 _____
7 David G. Estudillo
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24